

United States Patent and Trademark Office

SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94083-0511

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 10/056,790 01/23/2002 Mario N. Lioubin EX02-004C 1603 EXAMINER 23500 7590 03/22/2004 YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H JAN P. BRUNELLE EXELIXIS, INC. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 170 HARBOR WAY 1642 P.O. BOX 511

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary				
		10/056,79		LIOUBIN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
	TI MAU INO DATE A SALE A COMPANIO SALE A COMPA	Christophe		1642
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period return to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication.	136(a). In no even ply within the statud will apply and wite, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.	October 200	<u>3</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 8-12 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	equirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)[☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
* \$ 13) \(\times \) \(\times	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince as a claim for domestince as a claim for domestince of the complex control of the foreign language processing the control of the foreign language processing the control of the first sentence of the control of the first sentence of the control of the first sentence of the control of the control of the first sentence of the control of the con	nts have beents have beents have been ority docume au (PCT Rulest of the certifictic priority urinst sentence rovisional apostic priority urinst priority urinst sentence	n received. n received in Applications have been received in 17.2(a)). fied copies not received and a 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification or plication has been received and a 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachmen				(DTO 440) Day 11 (1)
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/056,790 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

SUPPLEMENTAL DETAILED ACTION

- 1. As noted by applicants, the Final Action mailed 01/09/2004 contained an apparent oversight wherein the Examiner presented new arguments under 35 USC 112 1st paragraph, in the absence of referring to MPEP 706.07(a). Thus, this office Action represents a subsequent action on the merits in **response** to the amendments filed October 27, 2003. The Action mailed 01/09/04 is therefore withdrawn in favor of this supplemental action.
- 2. The After-Final amendments filed 02/25/2004 will not be entered because they fail to comply with the requirements of form expressly set forth in a previous Office Action. 37 CFR 1.116(b).
- 3. Claims 1-7, and 13-51 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.
- 4. Claims 8-12 are pending and examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

5. The rejection of claims 8-12 under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph as being indefinite is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant argues that the specification provides clear indication the term "RRP" is intended to refer to a Rhomboid Related Protein. Moreover, applicant states that because the RRPs are characterized in the specification by sequence identification numbers, there is clear indication to one of skill in the art that RRP is intended to refer only to Rhomboid Related Proteins. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not found persuasive because others, as evidenced by Salvo *et al* and Barg *et al*, have also defined RRPs. Because the skilled

Application/Control Number: 10/056,790

Art Unit: 1642

artisan cannot determine which "RRP" is intended to be encompassed with the scope of the claims, and because there are other names or meanings for the term "RRP", it is ambiguous and indefinite. As such, there is no clear distinction as to which RRP is being claimed by the applicant.

New Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to 6. comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The written description in this case has only set forth sequences represented by the sequences of SEQ ID Nos: 2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16 and 46, and is therefore the written description is not commensurate in scope to claims that read on RRPs 1-8 and mouse RRP.

In order to fulfill the requirements of written description, the specification as originally filed must convey to one of skill in the art that the applicant was in possession of the instantly claimed invention. As provided in the last office action, other have discovered or characterized RRP proteins such as Szakmary et al and Savino et al (previously cited), both of which specifically characterized a RRP1 protein. It does not appear that the specification has described a RRP protein with the same characteristics and as such is not entitled to the broad scope of RRPs, especially RRP-1. There has

Application/Control Number: 10/056,790

Art Unit: 1642

been no structurally defined characteristics associated with the RRPs so that one of skill in the art would be able to practice the invention commensurate in scope to the claims. The Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement make clear that the written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the genus (Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001, see especially page 1106 3rd column).

Applicant was not in possession of the instant claimed invention. See Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. 119 F.3d 1559, 43 USPQ2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Adequate written description of genetic material "'requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties,' not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention." Id. 43 USPQ2d at 1404 (quoting Fiers, 984 F.2d at 1171, 25 USPQ2d at 1606). The disclosure must allow one skilled in the art to visualize or recognize the identity of the subject matter of the claim. Id. 43 USPQ2d at 1406. A description of what the genetic material does, rather than of what it is, does not suffice. Id.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,790

Art Unit: 1642

While it is noted that the instant claims are drawn to methods, the claims nevertheless require an adequate written description of the "RRP(s)" employed in the methods.

Conclusion

- 7. No claim is allowed.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H Yaen whose telephone number is 571-272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

Art Unit: 1642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642 March 17, 2004

GARY NICKOL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jary & Miles P